

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,767	07/18/2003	Daniel Plastina	MS#303015.01 (5052)	MS#303015.01 (5052) 8677	
321 7590 03/19/2007 SENNIGER POWERS			EXAMINER		
	POLITAN SQUARE		PATEL, MA	NGLESH M	
16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS, WA	.0 05102		2178		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	.03/19/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
PLASTINA ET AL.		
Art Unit	•	
2178		
	PLASTINA ET AL. Art Unit	

	Wilding Con W. Tater	1 2 0	L
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02/28/07</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, dice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	4.400/->	4
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two montl	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a br	ief, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see f		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separa	te, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		. N4: of Assessing	at ha antarad
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affi	davit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap ry and was not earlier presented	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	- And	-
		STEPHEN HO	NG

TRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

The previous evidence was insufficient to overcome the Music Match reference because the applicant did not clearly explain the facts or data applicant is relying on to show that the invention was completed prior to a certain date. There was no explanation as to how the program code relates to the claimed invention. The applicant submitted Exhibits A, B and C. Exhibit A included code segment and a statement by the applicant that the application program worked for its intended purpose, however it was insufficient without accompanying Screenshots of the program in action or how the code relates to each claimed limitation. Further Exhibit B showed that the code segment of Exhibit A was incorporated into an application program, however a build does not prove that the program worked for its intended purpose. Furthermore Exhibit C is merely an email of observation and discussion of the problem and recommendations.

However the examiner appreciates the efforts by applicant to expedite prosecution and supply further evidence to establish the date for reduction to practice of the invention by submitting additional evidence of D and E. Upon a preliminary overview the evidence appears to overcome the prior art date, however the examiner must reconsider the addition evidence, particularly the claims and how they relate to the program code. The examiner believes that at this point enough sufficient information has been presented and should have been presented earlier. The additional evidence shows how the claims relate to the program code, this along with the program screenshot in action appear to overcome the prior art date, however the examiner must review the additional information to be fully considered, particularly the claim language and how it associates with the code portions.